

Rankings for Scientist

More Than a Ranking

Liechtenstein's Universities and Research Institutions:

Comprehensive Analysis of 4 Universities and Institutions and 46 Scientists

AD Scientific Index 2025



Liechtenstein's Universities and Research Institutions: Comprehensive Analysis of 4 Universities and Institutions and 46 Scientists

World Scientist and University Rankings 2025

(Total 2.626.054 scientist, 221 country, 24.516 university)

1. What is the AD Scientific Index (Alper-Doger Scientific Index)?

Developed in 2021 by **Prof. Dr. Murat Alper** and **Assoc. Prof. Dr. Cihan Döğer**, the AD Scientific Index is an **independent and international ranking system** that provides a multidimensional evaluation of the academic performance of scientists and institutions. Key highlights include:

- Original academic rankings, detailed analyses, and comparative results
- A resource guiding policy development to enhance scientific contributions and productivity
- Analysis of 2.626.054 scientists and 24.516 institutions across 13 major academic fields and 211 disciplines, covering 221 countries
- Data sourced from Google Scholar and subjected to rigorous multi-stage filtering processes
- Evaluation based on total and last six years' H-index, i10-index, and citation counts. Rankings are updated every few days, offering near real-time accuracy that reflects current academic performance.

2. Why is the AD Scientific Index (Alper-Doger Scientific Index) Needed?

☐ Most **international university rankings** consider parameters like:

- Research productivity, impact, excellence
- Educational quality
- Faculty quality
- Research output
- Per capita performance

☐ Many of these rely heavily on **publication and citation counts** as key indicators of academic performance. However, these methods:

• Vary in data sources (e.g., SCIE, SSCI, InCites)

- Differ in what types of publications they count (articles, notes, conference papers, etc.)
- May emphasize **high-impact journals** (e.g., *Nature*, *Science*, *PNAS*)
- Often use H-index, top 5% journals by impact factor, total citations, and other indicators
- Frequently face redundancy (measuring the same aspect multiple times), leading to "indicator alignment"
- Rarely exceed coverage of **1,500-3,000 institutions** or **70-100 countries** due to these limitations

☐ How AD Scientific Index Addresses These Gaps

- Focuses on **both total and six-year productivity** (H-index, i10-index, citation data)
- Ranks individual scientists as well as academic fields, institutions, and countries
- Broad coverage spanning countries, regions, institutions, disciplines, languages, and publication types
- Ensures equal opportunities for comparison with a fair and transparent methodology
- No reliance on non-public or invisible parameters in ranking formulas.

3. What are the H-index and i10-index?

- **H-index**: Evaluates both productivity and citation impact. An H-index of *h* means the researcher has *h* papers each cited at least *h* times.
- i10-index (calculated by Google Scholar): Counts the number of publications with at least 10 citations.

These metrics:

- Offer insight into consistent academic influence
- Higher values indicate more sustained impact

4. The Importance of Last 6 Years Metrics

The AD Scientific Index places special emphasis on **Last 6 Years** metrics to reveal **recent** academic performance:

- Total H-index, i10-index, citation count: Show long-term academic impact
- Last 6 Years H-index, i10-index, citations: Highlight current contributions and relevance in evolving fields
- Focuses on impact continuation over the last six years, not just publication dates
- Ensures **up-to-date perspective** in identifying leading contributors and institutions

5. How Is the "AD Scientific Index" Different from Other

Rankings?

☐ Multi-Dimensional Analysis

- **Comprehensive Metrics:** Integrates total and last-six-year H-index, i10-index, and citation counts to provide a **broad** and **balanced** picture of academic impact.
- Layered Comparisons: Enables evaluations at global, continental, national, and city levels, as well as public and private institutions, revealing both long-term influence and current momentum.

$\hfill \square$ Focus on Individual Scientists

- Foundation of Institutional Success: Genuine breakthroughs and reputation stem from individual scientists.
- **Beyond Broad Factors:** While other rankings often focus on "international reputation" or "teaching quality," the AD Scientific Index homes in on **concrete achievements**, emphasizing the **true** drivers of institutional excellence.

□ Accessible and Inclusive Data

• Extensive Coverage: Utilizes publicly available Google Scholar data, carefully screened, to assess researchers across every field, country, and type of institution.

☐ Equal Opportunity

- Fair Recognition: Offers equitable acknowledgment to all scientists and institutions, regardless of geographical or institutional background.
- **Seamless Participation:** The system is **easy to join** on both individual and institutional levels, making academic performance **visible at every tier, in near real time**.

☐ Democratic and Universal Approach

- **Global Level Playing Field:** Reflects how individual accomplishments shape the overall performance of institutions **worldwide**.
- Commitment to Transparency: Employs impartial, reproducible methods, ensuring equal conditions for prominent research universities and smaller colleges alike.

☐ Identifying Misconduct

- **Guardian of Integrity:** Acts as an **early warning system** against plagiarism, unethical authorship (e.g., gift authorship), or excessive publication practices.
- Institutional and Individual Accountability: Ensures that authentic academic contributions remain in the spotlight by uncovering ethical violations, safeguarding the credibility of researchers and institutions.

6. Unique Features of the "AD Scientific Index"

☐ Academic and Economic Independence

- Operates entirely free from external influences, ensuring that evaluations focus **exclusively** on academic merit.
- Maintains **objective** and **transparent** standards without commercial or political pressure.

☐ Transparent and Rigorous Methodology

- Relies on **open-source**, verifiable data combined with **clearly defined** algorithms and weighting.
- Corrects errors within **one week** and strictly **upholds impartiality** to preserve credibility and accuracy.

☐ Comprehensive Evaluation

- Provides **both total and last-six-year metrics** (H-index, i10-index, citations) for universities, institutions, hospitals, and companies.
- Allows stakeholders to assess long-term trends alongside recent performance at a glance.

☐ Institutional Progress Analysis

• Monitors and analyzes **institutional development** over the last six years, highlighting growth trajectories and performance shifts.

☐ Public vs. Private Comparison

- Offers **direct comparisons** among public universities, as well as with private universities, companies, hospitals, and research institutes.
- Illuminates sector-wide benchmarks for a broader context of academic achievement.

☐ Scientific Ranking Distribution

• Examines **academic staff rankings** within each institution, showing percentile-based standings to pinpoint **individual and collective strengths**.

□ Individual Status Tracking

• Presents **detailed** profiles for researchers (H-index, i10-index, citations), delivering clear insights into each scholar's **impact and influence**.

☐ Global and Regional Rankings

- Encompasses **2.626.054 individuals** from 24.516 **institutions** across 221 **countries** and **10 regions**, covering a wide array of disciplines.
- Enables **branch** and **sub-discipline-specific** evaluations for targeted insights. **individuals** from **institutions**,

☐ Top List Reports

• Generates **country-level**, **regional**, **and global** top lists, serving as valuable resources for benchmarking and recognition.

☐ Continuously Refreshed Rankings (Near Real-Time)

- Ensures **continuous** data refresh, with H index, i10 index and citation metrics updated **every 10-20 days** and rankings recalculated **every two days**.
- Offers users an **up-to-date** view of academic performance.

□ Valuing Feedback and Contributions

- Incorporates community input to **refine** the methodology and maintain **data accuracy**.
- Facilitates a **collaborative** approach that keeps rankings current and reliable.

☐ Increased Visibility & Early Detection of Ethical Violations

- Sheds light on unethical practices (e.g., gift authorship, citation cartels, fake paper factories), promoting **academic integrity** through transparency.
- Helps identify and address potential misconduct promptly.

☐ Art and Humanities Rankings & Social Sciences and Humanities Rankings

- Provides dedicated rankings that accurately represent these fields, leveraging Google Scholar's broad coverage.
- Ensures these disciplines receive **fair**, **detailed** visibility alongside STEM areas.

7. Comprehensive and Inclusive Data Source Strategy

Most ranking organizations use **Scopus**, **Web of Science**, **Google Scholar**, or **Nature Index**. Each has strengths and limitations.

Our Approach:

- Global, practical, inclusive methodology
- Robust auditing to mitigate data source limitations
- Continuous data cleansing (nearly 1 million profiles reviewed; many deleted)
- Ongoing quality improvements ensure increasingly accurate and up-to-date rankings, approaching real-time accuracy.

8. How Frequently Are AD Scientific Index Rankings Updated?

- New entries, deletions, corrections typically visible within 1-3 days
- H-index, i10-index, and citation numbers are updated every 15 days, while the

ranking is refreshed every 2 days.

- Data primarily from Google Scholar with a focus on standardizing names, institutions, and data
- **User contributions** to enhance data accuracy are always welcome

9. Who Can Be Included in the List and How Does the Inclusion Process Work?

 AD Scientific Index currently includes data on 2.626.054 scientists from 24.516 institutions across 221 countries. While these figures represent one of the broadest samples available globally, we would like to emphasize that listing all researchers with a public Google Scholar profile is not our objective, and such profiles are not automatically included in the system.

The primary ways to be included are:

• Paid Individual or Institutional Registration: Researchers and institutions who wish to ensure immediate inclusion may do so by registering through the "Register" link on our website.

We would like to kindly emphasize that **automatically including all publicly available Google Scholar profiles is not part of our model**, as it would compromise data quality and system sustainability. Maintaining the integrity of the index involves:

- Multi-layered verification of data accuracy
- Continuous updates to citation and index scores
- Ethical checks
- Monitoring of affiliation changes
- Tracking of institutional mergers, closures, and renamings
- Responsible handling of profiles of deceased individuals

Given these demands, we prioritize a **manageable**, **meaningful**, **and accessible data structure** over unlimited expansion. Our approach aims to provide **equitable representation** for countries and institutions worldwide within the boundaries of operational feasibility.

Additional reasons a profile may not appear or may be temporarily removed from the index include:

- **Hidden or Deleted Profiles**: If a previously listed profile is hidden or deleted, the associated metrics (e.g., h-index, i10 index, citation count) may be shown as zero or removed. If the profile becomes public again and has not been permanently deleted, previous scores are automatically restored.
- **Ethical Considerations**: In cases involving false authorship, retracted publications, citation manipulation, or fabricated content, profiles may be removed from the system—even if registered—without refund.
- **Voluntary Removal**: We respect researchers' preferences and remove profiles upon request.

As a result, some researchers from a given institution may appear in the index while others do not. This outcome reflects the structure and practical boundaries of the system, and should not be perceived as a reflection of an individual's academic qualifications.

Researchers and institutions who would like to increase their visibility are encouraged to explore our **individual or institutional registration** options based on their needs.

10. Is Registration Required to View Your Ranking?

Not required to see your ranking in the AD Scientific Index. You can estimate your
approximate ranking by looking at the rankings of individuals with similar scores. Required
if you wish to be included with all detailed elements in the ranking

11. How AD Scientific Index Ranks Scientists and Institutions?

- 1. Total H-index scores
- 2. Last 6 years' H-index scores
- 3. Total i10 index scores
- 4. Last 6 years' i10 index scores
- 5. Total number of citations
- 6. Number of citations in the last 6 years

Ranking Criteria - Overview

Scientist and institution rankings in the AD Scientific Index are calculated based on multiple bibliometric indicators, with **Total H-index** serving as the primary ranking metric in most categories. General, Country, Regional, University, Branch, and Sub-Branch Rankings.

□ Total H-index Rankings Used in: Measures cumulative scientific impact and productivity. Ranking order:
1. Total H-index
2. Last 6 Years' H-index
3. Total i10 Index
4. Total Citations
☐ Last 6 Years' H-index Rankings Measures short-to-mid-term academic performance and sustained impact. Ranking order:
1. Last 6 Years' H-index
2. Last 6 Years' i10 Index
3. Total H-index
4. Citations in the Last 6 Years
□ Total i10 Index Rankings Measures: Reflects the consistency of influential scholarly output. Ranking order:
1. Total i10 Index
2. Last 6 Years' i10 Index
3. Total H-index

4. Total Citation Counts

☐ Last 6 Years' i10 Index Rankings Measures recent sustained academic productivity and recognition. Ranking order:
1. Last 6 Years' i10 Index
2. Last 6 Years' H-index
3. Total i10 Index
4. Citations in the Last 6 Years
 □ Total Citations Rankings Captures total scientific reach and academic recognition. Ranking order:
1. Total Citation Counts
2. Citations in the Last 6 Years
3. Total i10 Index
4. Last 6 Years' i10 Index
☐ Citations in the Last 6 Years Rankings Indicates present-day influence and citation activity. Ranking order:
1. Citations in the Last 6 Years
2. Total Citation Counts
3. Last 6 Years' i10 Index
4. Total i10 Index

Institutions are also ranked by these criteria at **national, regional, and global** levels.

☐ Studies Influencing Ranking Due to High Citation Numbers

- For unusually high citations (e.g., CERN, ATLAS, ALICE, CMS), authors are marked with an asterisk "i" to indicate this distinction.
- An **alternative list** excludes these studies to ensure balanced rankings.

12. Why Are Last 6 Years' Ratios Important?

- Reflect recent productivity and influence
- Indicate impact of individual performance and institutional policies
- Provide a **clear view** of modern academic contributions

13. Subject Rankings: Which Subjects are Ranked in the AD Scientific Index?

The Index covers **211 sub-disciplines** across various major fields:

Agriculture & Forestry: 15 subfields
Architecture & Design: 4 subfields
Business & Management: 8 subfields
Economics & Econometrics: 6 subfields

• Education: 11 subfields

Engineering & Technology: 26 subfields
 History, Philosophy, Theology: 3 subfields

• Law / Legal Studies: 12 subfields

• Medical and Health Sciences: 80 subfields

Natural Sciences: 6 subfields
Social Sciences: 22 subfields

Social Sciences and Humanities: 50 subfields

• Art and Humanities: 6 subfields

This **meticulous categorization** aligns with **university departments**, enabling **precise** analysis of academic impact.

14. How Universities Are Ranked in the AD Scientific Index?

- Rankings are based on the **distribution** of scientists within **top percentile ranges** (top % 10, %20, %40, %60, % 80, 90% percentiles and total scientists).
- If two institutions have the **same number** of scientists in a range, the **next percentile range** is considered.
- If a tie persists, the institution with the higher total number of individual scientists

ranks higher.

- Covers 24.516 institutions across:
 - Total H-index
 - Last 6 Years H-index
 - Total i10 index
 - Last 6 Years i10 index
 - Total citations
 - Last 6 Years citations

This approach helps institutions assess strengths, identify areas for improvement, and supports cross-border transfer or graduation equivalency evaluations.

15. Young University/Institution Rankings

• Focuses on institutions established within the last 30 years. The ranking is formed by applying the university ranking only among institutions established within the last 30 years. Demonstrates global standing of these "young" entities. Identifies strengths and weaknesses to shape future policies

16. Social Sciences and Humanities Rankings - The AD Scientific Index Advantage

- ✓ Exclusive Ranking for Social Sciences & Humanities Covers fields such as Business & Management, Economics & Econometrics, Education, History, Philosophy, Theology, Law, and Social Sciences.
- ✓ No Overshadowing by STEM Fields Medicine, Engineering, and Natural Sciences are excluded, ensuring that institutions and scholars in Social Sciences & Humanities receive a fair and unbiased evaluation.
- ✓ A Balanced and Unique Ranking Approach Unlike traditional rankings dominated by STEM disciplines, this ranking highlights the real academic impact of Social Sciences & Humanities, ensuring that institutions and researchers in these fields get the visibility they deserve.
- ✓ Comprehensive Performance Metrics Rankings are conducted at both institutional and individual levels, based on H-index, i10-index, and citation data, providing a data-driven and objective assessment of academic excellence.
- ✓ The AD Scientific Index Advantage: With regularly refreshed data, a transparent methodology, and a strong focus on academic impact, this ranking ensures that achievements in Social Sciences & Humanities are properly recognized.!

17. Art and Humanities Rankings

- Specialized ranking for History, Philosophy, Theology, Linguistics and Literature,
 Archaeology, and Arts
- Ensures achievements in arts and humanities are recognized
- Provides balanced evaluation free from STEM dominance
- Explorable at **institutional** and **individual** levels (H-index, i10 index, citations)

18. 360° Real-Time Institutional Analysis

Find out where your university stands in global rankings with real-time data and gain key insights. Compare your position, strengths, and weaknesses in real-time against 24.516 universities worldwide at city, national, regional, and global levels. Benchmark against similar institutions across 13 major fields. Identify the most suitable scholars for your strategic transfer goals with a data-driven approach, and gain a competitive edge. Start Exploring for Free & Gain Insights Now!

19. Pricing Policy

- ☐ Free Services
 - No charge for accessing individual and institutional rankings via the main category pages
 - Most comprehensive academic data (for individuals and institutions) is freely accessible on AD Scientific Index
- □ Premium Services
 - One-time fee (covering three years) for:
 - More comprehensive analyses
 - Ability to input and modify data on Scientist and Institution pages
 - Full control over your academic profile
 - Differentiated pricing based on income levels of countries
 - Strict deletion policy for unethical or misleading profiles applies to all users (including paid)

We remain **academically and economically independent**, offering unbiased services to the academic community.

20. Privacy - Data Policy

- We respect personal rights and data deletion requests.
- **Click here** for more information on our privacy and data policies.

20. Contact

21. FAQ Frequently Asked Questions and Answer

360° Real-Time Institutional Analysis

Strategic	Intelligence	to	Shape	Your
Academic	Future			

Academic Future	
□ Propel Your Institution to the Academia	e Pinnacle of Global
Submit Request	
☐ Transform Your Academic Powe Competition	r — Stay Ahead of the

Instantly see where your institution stands among **24.505** universities worldwide. Gain strategic insights, enhance your rankings, and surpass competitors with real-time, data-driven decisions.

☐ Aligned with Global Higher Education Excellence Frameworks

Aligned with Global Higher Education Excellence Frameworks

Whether your institution seeks to excel under India's NIRF and NAAC, Brazil's CAPES, Mexico's CONACYT, the USA's Carnegie Classification, the UK's Research Excellence Framework (REF), Australia's ERA, Japan&rsqu

Table I. Scientists in Liechtenstein: Ranking and Analysis

#	Country	Country Region Rank	Country World Rank	Total Institutions	Total Scientist
1	Liechtenstein	44	138	4	46

Table II. All Types of Institutions in Liechtenstein: Ranking and Analysis

#	Institution	Country Rank	Region Rank	World Rank	Country	Type of Institution	Founded	Scientists in World Top 3%	Scientists in World Top 10%	Scientists in World Top 20%	Scientists in World Top 30%
1	Universität Liechtenstein	1	1674	4450	Liechtenstein	Public	1961	0	4	8	12
2	Ivoclar Vivadent	2	3786	13211	Liechtenstein	Company	1923	0	0	1	1
3	Liechtenstein Institute	3	4979	21593	Liechtenstein	Institution	1986	0	0	0	0
4	Private Universität im Fürstentum Liechtenstein	4	5245	24410	Liechtenstein	Private	2000	0	0	0	0

Table III. Universities in Liechtenstein: Comprehensive Ranking and Analysis

#	t University	Country Rank	Region Rank	World Rank	Country	Type of Institution	Founded	Scientists in World Top 3%	Scientists in World Top 10%	Scientists in World Top 20%	Scientists in World Top 30%
1	Universität Liechtenstein	1	967	2991	Liechtenstein	Public	1961	0	4	8	12
2	Private Universität im Fürstentum Liechtenstein	2	2896	18537	Liechtenstein	Private	2000	0	0	0	0

Table IV. Public Universities in Liechtenstein: Ranking and Analysis

#	University	Country Rank	Region Rank	World Rank	Country	Founded	Scientists in World Top 3%	Scientists in World Top 10%	Scientists in World Top 20%	Scientists in World Top 30%
1	Universität Liechtenstein	1	856	2389	Liechtenstein	1961	0	4	8	12

Table V. Private Universities in Liechtenstein: Ranking and Analysis

#	University	Country Rank	Region Rank	World Rank	Country	Founded	Scientists in World Top 3%	Scientists in World Top 10%	Scientists in World Top 20%	Scientists in World Top 30%
1	Private Universität im Fürstentum Liechtenstein	1	733	8290	Liechtenstein	2000	0	0	0	0

Table VI. Young Universities in Liechtenstein: Ranking and Analysis

#	University	Country Rank	Region Rank	World Rank	Country	Founded	Scientists in World Top 3%	Scientists in World Top 10%	Scientists in World Top 20%	Scientists in World Top 30%
1	Private Universität im Fürstentum Liechtenstein	2	2896	18537	Liechtenstein	2000	0	0	0	0

Table VII. Institutions in Liechtenstein: Ranking and Analysis

#	Institution	Country Rank	Region Rank	World Rank	Country	Founded	Scientists in World Top 3%	Scientists in World Top 10%	Scientists in World Top 20%	Scientists in World Top 30%
1	Liechtenstein Institute	1	1455	3222	Liechtenstein	1986	0	0	0	0

Table VIII. Companies in Liechtenstein: Ranking and Analysis

#	Company	Country Rank	Region Rank	World Rank	Country	Founded	Scientists in World Top 3%	Scientists in World Top 10%	Scientists in World Top 20%	Scientists in World Top 30%
1	Ivoclar Vivadent	1	364	1065	Liechtenstein	1923	0	0	1	1

Table IX. Hospitals in Liechtenstein: Ranking and Analysis

# Hospital	Country	Region	World	Country Founded	Scientists in	Scientists in	Scientists in	Scientists in
	Rank	Rank	Rank	Country Founde	World Top 3%	World Top 10%	World Top 20%	World Top 30%